Post-16 Governance Options – Workstream Evaluation Against the Investment Objectives

Document Date:

15th December 2020

Document Purpose:

This document provides a summary evaluation of the three Governance Options for post-16 provision. This is a summary of the agreed evaluation of the options against the Investment Objectives.

The w/s was divided into three groups and each group wrote one of the Governance Options papers (Status Quo, Joint Governance, Independent Governance). These papers were then presented to the w/s and subsequently each group took away a different paper to evaluate as a team. Once this review was complete, each w/s member was asked to complete an individual and anonymous evaluation questionnaire using Microsoft Forms. The questionnaire results were automatically collated by the software and the results formed the basis for the final evaluation tables below. The w/s met to review the collated results and focused the discussions particularly on those elements which did not have a strong majority agreement on the criteria of 'meets, partially meets, does not meet'. As a result some of the investment objectives also have an evaluation narrative.

Results Tables:

The tables below provide the evaluation results

Status Quo: Majority does not meet

General note: This is not a judgement of any particular school or course. This is a universal assessment of Powys-wide provision.

Investment Objective	Evaluation Narrative	Evaluation
		Result
1 To ensure that all Powys learners have		Does not
access to their appropriate and aspirational		meet

	learning and career pathways, ensuring that		
	all learners are effectively supported to		
	thrive		
2	Provision that is likely to be sustainable and		Does not
	deliver value for money		meet
3	All provision is of the highest standard and	This is not a judgement of any particular school or course. When viewed across the	Does not
	learners are supported to achieve	whole of Powys "does not meet" applies however no single institution or course is	meet
	outstanding academic, vocational and extra-	singled out here – some provision is of a high standard whereas some requires	
	curricular outcomes of the highest level	improvement	
4	To ensure that provision meets the needs of		Partially
	the local economy		meets
5	Powys post-16 provision will foster and	"Develop close links with all Powys schools" does not happen with all provision.	Does not
	develop close links with all Powys schools,	There are some schools which do have strong links between each other	meet
	other learning providers and learners		
6	To ensure delivery of Learner Entitlement via		Does not
	excellent, state of the art, outstanding and		meet
	modern facilities including excellent IT		
	infrastructure		
7	To ensure delivery of Learner Entitlement	This doesn't mean there isn't robust leadership and governance in some of our	Does not
	through robust leadership and governance	schools but this isn't necessarily universal	meet
	arrangements	There aren't currently universal standards for our schools and the statement is also	
		about the Powys-wide governance and oversight of education provision in the	
		county	
		• Estyn reports on many of our secondary schools uphold the view that leadership and	
		governance is not strong enough.	
		• There is also no common standard across providers for all learners in Powys and we	
		want all learners in Powys to have the same entitlement and therefore it does not	
L		meet	

Counter to this evaluation, the current governance arrangements have not yet had
the opportunity to meet the Learner Entitlement statement that has just been
written
There is a recognition through the Estyn report and the Transformation Strategy that
we aren't delivering on "learner entitlement" within the strategy

Joint Governance: majority = meets

Investment Objective	Evaluation Narrative	Evaluation Result
To ensure that all Powys learners have access to their appropriate and aspirational learning and career pathways, ensuring that all learners are effectively supported to thrive	 Counter to "meets" - clusters are inherently more local Support to "meets" - Every cluster would have to meet the same criteria in each of the areas and this would be non-negotiable. Any learner in any part of Powys would be able to access the same provision regardless of their location. Currently there are 12 schools doing their own thing, under the joint governance model there is a single governing body controlling the standard and they are comparing the standards between 3 different clusters – if one of these does something different / outside of the standards it won't be sanctioned Strategic management makes this more secure 	Meets
2 Provision that is likely to be sustainable and deliver value for money		Meets
3 All provision is of the highest standard and learners are supported to achieve outstanding academic, vocational and extracurricular outcomes of the highest level	 Meets: There is a dual-pronged approach to quality control – at the school and management board level, giving extra surety that standards will be monitored and upheld Centrally standardised protocol, a commissioning model, everyone signs up to those principles, a set of standards for each of the LE criteria that everyone is happy with and that every cluster has to meet and if they don't meet they don't get the funds. Commissioning expected to be on a yearly basis – either the teacher would have to change for a course to run to A2 or it would be unable to run to A2. You need to know that if you don't produce the standards then you won't be able to continue to run the course. 	Split decision: meets / partially meets

- Under the commissioning model it is the school that is being commissioned to
 deliver the course. The teacher remains to be the employee of the school the
 member of staff and their capability remains a school issue as that teacher might be
 excellent at delivering up to GCSE. It is the school which loses the funding for the
 course and the course would be commissioned from another provider. This means
 that a HR issue doesn't come into it that member of staff might just be removed
 from teaching A Level courses
- At the beginning we would start with the proven established teachers in the established schools this would then be broadened out.
- There may be different component parts of a course delivered by different providers thus reducing the potential for the course to be removed and building resilience within the commissioning model
- In most schools a good A level is often taught by 2 teachers. In Newport they commission from whoever delivers the best results in each of the schools

Partially meets:

- If things aren't going well it still needs to go through a significant process for a teacher who is not delivering to standards could foresee that there would be stumbling blocks in this model in terms of meeting the standards. You are commissioning for a period of time and if the standard can't be guaranteed it would be difficult to stop part way through.
- Where will you find a replacement, high standard geography teacher, if you need to replace someone who isn't performing to a high standard
- There may be HR barriers in place for removing teachers from delivering courses if they aren't performing (see above for counter argument)

4	To ensure that provision meets the needs of	Built-in is a set of criteria that each cluster must adhere to and that must then be	Meets
	the local economy	agreed centrally. For example the north might be partnering with Shropshire College	
		whilst the south might be looking at a southern provider to partner with.	
		Retains local view whilst having a Powys-wide strategic overview	
5	Powys post-16 provision will foster and		Meets
	develop close links with all Powys schools,		
	other learning providers and learners		
6	To ensure delivery of Learner Entitlement via	There is relative uncertainty around funding for capital improvements	Partially
	excellent, state of the art, outstanding and	Whilst we can't do this immediately, it would govern our decisions going forward	meets
	modern facilities including excellent IT	around the standards of provision and environment.	
	infrastructure	We still need to define what this means e.g. "a place to work quietly" might be	
		better than the overarching statement we have written	
7	To ensure delivery of Learner Entitlement	Partially Meets:	Split
	through robust leadership and governance	Will the joint governance really be able to give the broad options for the ALN and	decision:
	arrangements	WM learners? Could it really be guaranteed for all?	meets /
		Teeth? Unsure about the legal basis at this current point. Understanding that this	partially
		model does work.	meets
		What we have to be careful of is that if the funding is removed from a school it is not	
		the school which loses out but the students and this impacts on learner entitlement.	
		Meets:	
		The Management Board would be setting gold standards for careers, ALN, WM –	
		these have to be in each cluster therefore you have to meet it or you don't receive	
		the funding.	
		This model is built on the assumption that it will have the necessary supporting legal	
		basis.	
		With multiple heads working together they will all be keen to improve the standards	
		of a particular course because their learner may be taking that course as well	

There is a very collaborative atmosphere and will at the moment among schools to	
work together	

Independent Governance: majority = meets

In	vestment Objective	Evaluation Narrative	Evaluation Result
1	To ensure that all Powys learners have access	Concern is unsure that this will be as positively viewed as the previous model (this	Meets
	to their appropriate and aspirational learning	will be left for the SWOT)	
	and career pathways, ensuring that all		
	learners are effectively supported to thrive		
2	Provision that is likely to be sustainable and		Meets
	deliver value for money		
3	,	Partially meets	Meets
	learners are supported to achieve	• This is missing the expertise that are at the baseline of delivery – concerns about the	
	outstanding academic, vocational and extra-	context that staff would be employed by a new 6th form across Powys – not sure	
	curricular outcomes of the highest level	that will work and therefore have concerns about the practicalities of this.	
		 Meets This model could probably secure a greater level of expertise in our teaching. Political appetite and schools appetite for this model may lead to significant disruption for learners (this will be captured in the SWOT) 	
4	To ensure that provision meets the needs of	Meets	Split
	the local economy	The governance structure would look for the breadth of input into the structure.	decision meets /
		Partially Meets	partially
		You lose the local knowledge, too centralised	meets
		You lose the local input into the decision making and the local ownership.	
		Concern about the possible loss of local input from schools that have been operating	
		in the areas for such a long time.	

5	Powys post-16 provision will foster and	Concerns	Meets*
5	develop close links with all Powys schools, other learning providers and learners	 This will be the least palatable to heads and the governance element would have to be very carefully written. There will inevitably be a reduction in links with Powys schools to start with and relationships would have to be built up. We run the risk of losing students here as this will be a new model but with least 	*Strong concern noted from JP
		 knowledge of parents (may run the risk of losing students at secondary as well as 6th form) Additional comments There are elements where this would meet more easily and elements where it wouldn't. Whereas on the one side it would be easier for 1 learning organisation to foster links with schools (rather than 12) there are also pros for learners belonging to one 6th form 	that this partially meets rather than meets
	To ensure delivery of Learner Entitlement via excellent, state of the art, outstanding and modern facilities including excellent IT infrastructure		Partially meets
7	To ensure delivery of Learner Entitlement through robust leadership and governance arrangements		Meets

Additional Notes:

- Worth taking into consideration the combined figures of responses as well e.g. "partially meets + meets" is stronger weight towards an ability to meet the requirements than the combined figures of "partially meets + does not meet" which is weighted the other way
- Need to consider the legalities around employment of staff across two centres under the Independent Governance option
- State of the Art facilities are unable to be fully commented on at this stage